

HID44 12.5.1
H442
8/4/09

Ravi Sanga/R10/USEPA/US
08/04/2009 11:32 AM

To Susan McGroddy <SusanM@windwardenv.com>
cc "Dave Schuchardt (Dave.Schuchardt@Seattle.Gov)"
<Dave.Schuchardt@Seattle.Gov>, dan berlin
<dberlin@anchorenv.com>, "Debra Williston
(debra.williston@kingcounty.gov)"
<debra.williston@kingcounty.gov>, "Debra Williston
(b) (6)", Gary
Pascoe (b) (6), Doug Hotchkiss
<hotchkiss.d@portseattle.org>, "Jeff Stern
(jeff.stern@kingcounty.gov)" <jeff.stern@kingcounty.gov>,
Lisa Gagnon <lgagnon@anchorenv.com>, Nancy Judd
<NancyJ@windwardenv.com>, "Pete Rude
(pete.rude@seattle.gov)" <pete.rude@seattle.gov>, Scott
Becker <sbecker@integral-corp.com>, Susan McGroddy
<SusanM@windwardenv.com>, "twang@anchorenv.com"
<twang@anchorenv.com>, Maja
Tritt/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Robert Gensemer
<rgensemer@parametrix.com>, Joe
Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Peter Leon
<PLEon@parametrix.com>

bcc

Subject Re: Surface water data report

Attached are EPAs comments on the Draft Surface Water Data Report Any questions, please give me a call.

Thanks

Ravi



EW_surface_water_data_rept_EPAcomments.doc

Ravi Sanga, MS
Environmental Scientist - Remedial Project Manager
US EPA Region 10
Office of Environmental Cleanup
phone: (206) 553-4092
fax: (206) 553-0124

Susan McGroddy Ravi,

07/01/2009 03:13:55 PM



Susan McGroddy
<SusanM@windwardenv.com>
>

07/01/2009 03:12 PM

To Ravi Sanga/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

cc dan berlin <dberlin@anchorenv.com>, "Dave Schuchardt
(Dave.Schuchardt@Seattle.Gov)"
<Dave.Schuchardt@Seattle.Gov>, "Debra Williston
(b) (6)" "Debra
Williston (debra.williston@kingcounty.gov)"
<debra.williston@kingcounty.gov>, Doug Hotchkiss
<hotchkiss.d@portseattle.org>, Gary Pascoe
(b) (6), "Jeff Stern
(jeff.stern@kingcounty.gov)" <jeff.stern@kingcounty.gov>,
Lisa Gagnon <lgagnon@anchorenv.com>, Nancy Judd
<NancyJ@windwardenv.com>, "Pete Rude
(pete.rude@seattle.gov)" <pete.rude@seattle.gov>, Scott

USEPA SF



1342015



Becker <sbecker@integral-corp.com>, Susan McGroddy
<SusanM@windwardenv.com>, "twang@anchorenv.com"
<twang@anchorenv.com>
Subject Surface water data report

Ravi,

I have attached the draft Surface water data report. The appendices for this report have been loaded to the Anchor ftp site (directions for download are below). We are preparing the data package for Maja and will send it to the QA office tomorrow. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns. If there are problems accessing the ftp site please contact Dan Berlin at Anchor.

Thanks.
Susie

Instructions:

To gain access to this FTP folder, please use the following procedure:

- * From your MS Windows Desktop, Select **Start/Run**
- * **Cut and Paste** the following line in the "**Open**" field and then Select "**OK**": (Please note that the following line is not a URL or hotlink)

%systemroot%/explorer ftp://eastwaterway%40eastwaterway:n0f1sh@ftp.anchorenv.com/

You should now be logged into the FTP account; a window will be generated for you to upload your file(s) into.

(Copy & paste your files into or from the window).

To perform a "**manual login**" from a Microsoft Explorer window, select the link below:

<ftp://ftp.anchorenv.com>

This will launch a "Log on as" window.

Username: **eastwaterway@eastwaterway** (this refers to the particular FTP user account @ the FTP project name)

Password: **n0f1sh** (the 0 is a zero and the 1 is a one)

[attachment "EW Surface Water Data Rpt_7-1-09.doc" deleted by Ravi Sanga/R10/USEPA/US]

[attachment "Map 2-1 3817 Surface water sampling locations.pdf" deleted by Ravi Sanga/R10/USEPA/US]

US EPA Comments. Draft Surface Water Data Report, East Waterway Operable Unit, Supplemental Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study, July 1, 2009

Comments

1. Page 8. Section 3. Laboratory Methods. It appears that conductivity was measured in the field as well as at the laboratory. Please state, in this section, that this was the case. Please add conductivity measurements at the laboratory to Table 3-1. Also explain which data set is included in the database.
2. Page 10. Section 4.1. Table 4.1. Please include a rationale or footnoted explanation regarding why Toxicity Equivalents have been calculated for PCB congeners in water.
3. Page 17. Section 4.2. Second paragraph. Given the procedures Brooks Rand Labs (BRL) used to revise MDLs and RLs for metals samples, the definitions of the MDL and RL are not applicable to some of the metals data. These definitions must be updated. Also see comment 5.
4. Page 17. Section 4.2. Third paragraph. This paragraph is misleading. The highest RL for zinc is a measured concentration that was restated as undetected as the result of blank contamination. The MDL is not applicable in this case. The statement, "The respective MDL for this sample was below the zinc ACG" is immaterial but implies that the data are sufficient to establish a concentration below the ACG. The RL is above the ACG, and we cannot determine whether the zinc concentration in this sample is above or below the ACG because of the blank contamination.
5. Page 17. Section 4.2. Fourth paragraph and Table 4-3. Again, comparing ACGs to the MDL is misleading when data are only reported to the RL and contamination is possible. Please modify the text and table to clarify this situation.
6. Page 17. Section 4.2 and BRL data packages. It is not clear why zinc results are restated to the RL in the database and why the RL and MDL are modified by Brooks Rand Lab based on method blank contamination. Both the MDL that is established per 40 CFR 136 and the RL that is based on the lowest calibration curve are valid, regardless of the performance of the method blanks. The usability of data may be diminished when data are restated from a measured concentration to an elevated MDL or RL that is greater than the measured concentration. In the case of zinc, Round 1 data were restated from a concentration below the ACG to the modified RL, which is above the ACG. This is not necessary and renders the data less useful for risk assessment. Measurements must be based on MDL and RL values established per method requirements and concentrations must not be elevated above the measured

concentration, only restated as undetected if warranted based on method blank results or results for other blanks. BRL must change the way they report data. MDLs and RLs must be used as described in method 1640. Zinc data and other appropriate metals must be restated in the revised data report accordingly. Please contact EPA regarding any questions in resolving this issue.

7. Appendix C. Several appendices to the Data Validation Report are cited in the project narrative of the report. These must be included with the report.
8. Appendix C. Page SVOC-2 and others. Under *Holding Time and Sample Preservation*, please provide a temperature range for coolers that were received outside the range of 2 – 6 °C to substantiate the decision not to qualify data based on cooler temperatures. If samples did not have time to cool to below 6°C from the time of collection as was implied in the case narrative, this must be stated.
9. Appendix C. Page SVOC-2 and page 21 of the main text. Page 21 of the main text states that results for aniline and n-nitrosodimethylamine were rejected because no initial calibration was completed. This oversight is not addressed in Appendix C, which states that data for these SVOCs were rejected because of LCS results and that missing results were generated from the original instrument data. Please clarify.